arXiv:math/0611389v3 [math.DG] 17 Aug 2009

A REMARK ON INVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON THE MINKOWSKI-EUCLID SPACE $\mathcal{P}_n \times \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$

JAE-HYUN YANG

Abstract. For two positive integers m and n, we let $\mathcal{P}_n$ be the open convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$ consisting of positive definite $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices and let $\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ be the set of all $m \times n$ real matrices. In this article, we investigate differential operators on the non-reductive manifold $\mathcal{P}_n \times \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ that are invariant under the natural action of the semidirect product group $GL(n,\mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ on the Minkowski-Euclid space $\mathcal{P}_n \times \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$. These invariant differential operators play an important role in the theory of automorphic forms on $GL(n,\mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ generlaizing that of automorphic forms on $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$.

1. **Introduction**

We let

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \left\{ Y \in \mathbb{R}^{(n,n)} \mid Y = {}^tY > 0 \right\}$$

be the open convex cone of positive definite symmetric real matrices of degree n in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$, where $F^{(k,l)}$ denotes the set of all $k \times l$ matrices with entries in a commutative ring F for two positive integers k and l and ${}^tM$ denotes the transposed matrix of a matrix M. Then the general linear group $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ acts on $\mathcal{P}_n$ naturally and transitively by

$$g \cdot Y = gY\,{}^tg, \qquad g \in GL(n,\mathbb{R}),\ Y \in \mathcal{P}_n. \tag{1.1}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{P}_n$ is a symmetic space which is diffeomorphic to the quotient space $GL(n,\mathbb{R})/O(n)$, where $O(n)$ denotes the orthogonal group of degree n. A. Selberg [9] investigated differential operators on $\mathcal{P}_n$ invariant under the action (1.1) of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ (cf. [6, 7]).

We let

$$GL_{n,m} = GL(n,\mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$$

be the semidirect product of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ and the additive group $\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ equipped with the following multiplication law

$$(g,\lambda) \cdot (h,\mu) = \left(gh, \lambda\,{}^th^{-1} + \mu\right),$$

where $g, h \in GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$. Then we have the natural action of $GL_{n,m}$ on the non-reductive manifold $\mathcal{P}_n \times \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ given by

$$(g,\lambda) \cdot (Y,V) = \left(gY\,{}^tg,\, (V+\lambda)\,{}^tg\right), \tag{1.2}$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13A50, 32Wxx, 15A72.

Keywords and phrases: Invariant differential operators, invariants, the Minkowski-Euclid space.

where $g \in GL(n,\mathbb{R}),\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)},\ Y \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$.

For brevity, we set $\mathcal{P}_{n,m} = \mathcal{P}_n \times \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ and $K = O(n)$. Since the action (1.2) of $GL_{n,m}$ is transitive, $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ is diffeomorphic to $GL_{n,m}/K$. We observe that the action (1.2) of $GL_{n,m}$ generalizes the action (1.1) of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$.

The reason why we study the non-reductive manifold $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ may be explained as follows. Let

$$GL_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z}) = GL(n,\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^{(m,n)}$$

be the arithmetic subgroup of $GL_{n,m}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the ring of integers. The arithmetic quotient $GL_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ may be regarded as the universal family of real tori in the following sense. If $\Omega \in \mathcal{P}_n$, then $L_\Omega = \mathbb{Z}^{(m,n)}\Omega + \mathbb{Z}^{(m,n)}$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$. So $T_\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}/L_\Omega$ is the real torus of dimension mn. I propose to name the space $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ the *Minkowski-Euclid space* because H. Minkowski [8] found a fundamental domain for $\mathcal{P}_n$ with respect to the arithmetic subgroup $GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ by means of the reduction theory. In this setting, using the invariant differential operators on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$, we may develop the theory of automorphic forms on $GL_{n,m}$ generalizing that on $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$.

The aim of this paper is to study differential operators on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ which are invariant under the action (1.2) of $GL_{n,m}$. This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review differential operators on $\mathcal{P}_n$ invariant under the action (1.1) of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$. In Section 3, we investigate differential operators on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ invariant under the action (1.2) of $GL_{n,m}$. At this moment it is quite complicated and difficult to find the generators of the algebra of all invariant differential operators on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$. We present some explicit invariant differntial operators which might be useful. In Section 4, we deal with the special cases $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ in detail as examples.

2. Review on Invariant Differential Operators on $\mathcal{P}_n$

For a variable $Y = (y_{ij}) \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we set

$$dY = (dy_{ij}) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} = \left(\frac{1+\delta_{ij}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} \right),$$

where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker delta symbol.

For a fixed element $g \in GL(n,\mathbb{R})$, we put

$$Y_* = g \cdot Y = gY\,{}^t g, \quad Y \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$

Then

$$dY_* = g\, dY\, {}^t g \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_*} = {}^t g^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\, g^{-1}. \tag{2.1}$$

We consider the following differential operators

$$D_i = \mathrm{tr}\left(\left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^i \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathrm{tr}(A)$ denotes the trace of a square matrix A. By Formula (2.1), we get

$$\left(Y_*\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_*}\right)^i = g\left(Y\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\right)^i g^{-1}$$

for any $g \in GL(n,\mathbb{R})$. So each D_i is invariant under the action (1.1) of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$.

Selberg [9] proved the following.

Theorem 2.1. *The algebra $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_n)$ of all differential operators on $\mathcal{P}_n$ invariant under the action (1.1) of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ is generated by $D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_n$. Furthermore $D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_n$ are algebraically independent and $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_n)$ is isomorphic to the commutative ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ with n indeterminates $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$.*

Proof. The proof can be found in [4], p. 337, [7], pp. 64-66 and [10], pp. 29-30. The last statement follows immediately from the work of Harish-Chandra [1, 2] or [4], p. 294. ☐

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^{(n,n)}$ be the Lie algebra of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ with the usual matrix Lie bracket. The adjoint representation Ad of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$\mathrm{Ad}(g) = gXg^{-1}, \quad g \in GL(n,\mathbb{R}),\ X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The Killing form B of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$B(X,Y) = 2n\,\mathrm{tr}(XY) - 2\,\mathrm{tr}(X)\,\mathrm{tr}(Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Since $B(aI_n, X) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, B is degenerate. So $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ is not semi-simple.
The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of K is

$$\mathfrak{k} = \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid X + {}^tX = 0 \right\}.$$

We let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid X = {}^tX \in \mathbb{R}^{(n,n)} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$$

is the direct sum of $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathrm{Ad}(k)\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ for any $k \in K$, K acts on $\mathfrak{p}$ via the adjoint representation by

$$k \cdot X = \mathrm{Ad}(k)X = kX\,{}^tk, \quad k \in K,\ X \in \mathfrak{p}. \tag{2.3}$$

The action (2.3) induces the action of K on the polynomial algebra $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p})$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ and the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{p})$. We denote by $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p})^K$ (resp. $S(\mathfrak{p})^K$) the subalgebra of $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p})$ (resp. $S(\mathfrak{p})$) consisting of all K-invariants. The following inner product $(\ ,\ )$ on $\mathfrak{p}$ defined by

$$(X,Y) = \mathrm{tr}(XY), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{p}$$

gives an isomorphism as vector spaces

$$\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathfrak{p}^*, \quad X \mapsto f_X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{p}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathfrak{p}^*$ denotes the dual space of $\mathfrak{p}$ and f_X is the linear functional on $\mathfrak{p}$ defined by

$$f_X(Y) = (Y, X), \quad Y \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

It is known that there is a canonical linear bijection of $S(\mathfrak{p})^K$ onto $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_n)$. Identifying $\mathfrak{p}$ with $\mathfrak{p}^*$ by the above isomorphism (2.4), we get a canonical linear bijection

$$\Phi : \mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p})^K \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_n) \tag{2.5}$$

of $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p})^K$ onto $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_n)$. The map Φ is described explicitly as follows. We put $N = n(n+1)/2$. Let $\{\xi_\alpha \mid 1 \le \alpha \le N\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{p}$. If $P \in \mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p})^K$, then

$$\Big(\Phi(P)f\Big)(gK) = \left[P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_\alpha}\right) f\left(g \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} t_\alpha \xi_\alpha \right) K \right) \right]_{(t_\alpha)=0}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{P}_n)$. We refer to [3, 4] for more detail. In general, it is very hard to express $\Phi(P)$ explicitly for a polynomial $P \in \mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p})^K$.

We let

$$q_i(X) = \mathrm{tr}\big(X^i\big), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \tag{2.7}$$

be the polynomials on $\mathfrak{p}$. Here we take a coordinate $x_{11}, x_{12}, \cdots, x_{nn}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$ given by

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \frac{1}{2}x_{12} & \dots & \frac{1}{2}x_{1n} \\ \frac{1}{2}x_{12} & x_{22} & \dots & \frac{1}{2}x_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2}x_{1n} & \frac{1}{2}x_{2n} & \dots & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $k \in K$,

$$(k \cdot q_i)(X) = q_i(k^{-1}Xk) = \mathrm{tr}(k^{-1}X^i k) = q_i(X), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

Thus $q_i \in \mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p})^K$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. By a classical invariant theory (cf. [5, 11]), we can prove that the algebra $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p})^K$ is generated by the polynomials $q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_n$ and that $q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_n$ are algebraically independent. Using Formula (2.6), we can show without difficulty that

$$\Phi(q_1) = \mathrm{tr}\left(2Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right).$$

However $\Phi(q_i)$ $(i = 2, 3, \cdots, n)$ are still not known explicitly.

We propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture. *For any* n,

$$\Phi(q_i) = \mathrm{tr}\left(\left(2Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^i \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

Remark. The author checked that the above conjecture is true for $n = 1, 2$.

For a positive real number A,

$$ds^2_{n;A} = A \cdot \mathrm{tr}\big(Y^{-1}dY\,Y^{-1}dY\big)$$

is a Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{P}_n$ invariant under the action (1.1). The Laplacian $\Delta_{n;A}$ of $ds^2_{n;A}$ is

$$\Delta_{n;A} = \frac{1}{A}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\left(Y\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\right)^2\right).$$

For instance, we consider the case $n = 2$ and $A > 0$. If we write for $Y \in \mathcal{P}_2$

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_3 \\ y_3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} & \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} \\ \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{2;A} &= A\,\mathrm{tr}\big(Y^{-1}dY\,Y^{-1}dY\big) \\ &= \frac{A}{\left(y_1y_2 - y_3^2\right)^2}\,\Big\{y_2^2\,dy_1^2 + y_1^2\,dy_2^2 + 2\left(y_1y_2 + y_3^2\right)dy_3^2 \\ &\qquad + 2\,y_3^2\,dy_1dy_2 - 4\,y_2\,y_3\,dy_1dy_3 - 4\,y_1y_3\,dy_2dy_3\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

and its Laplacian $\Delta_{2;A}$ on $\mathcal{P}_2$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{2;A} &= \frac{1}{A}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\left(Y\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\right)^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{A}\left\{y_1^2\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} + y_2^2\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} + \frac{1}{2}(y_1y_2 + y_3^2)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_3^2}\right. \\ &\qquad +2\left(y_3^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1\partial y_2} + y_1y_3\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1\partial y_3} + y_2y_3\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2\partial y_3}\right) \\ &\qquad \left.+\frac{3}{2}\left(y_1\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} + y_3\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

3. **Invariant Differential Operators on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$**

For a variable $(Y,V) \in \mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ with $Y \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$, we put

$$Y = (y_{ij}) \text{ with } y_{ij} = y_{ji}, \quad V = (v_{kl}),$$

$$dY = (dy_{ij}), \quad dV = (dv_{kl}),$$

$$[dY] = \wedge_{i\le j}dy_{ij}, \qquad [dV] = \wedge_{k,l}dv_{kl},$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}=\left(\frac{1+\delta_{ij}}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}}\right),\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial V}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{kl}}\right),$$

where $1\leq i,j,l\leq n$ and $1\leq k\leq m$.

For a fixed element $(g,\lambda)\in GL_{n,m}$, we write

$$(Y_\star,V_\star)=(g,\lambda)\cdot(Y,V)=\big(g\,Y\,{}^tg,(V+\lambda)\,{}^tg\big),$$

where $(Y,V)\in\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$. Then we get

$$Y_\star=g\,Y\,{}^tg,\quad V_\star=(V+\lambda)\,{}^tg \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_\star}={}^tg^{-1}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\,g^{-1},\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial V_\star}=\frac{\partial}{\partial V}\,g^{-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

Now we give some geometric properties of $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$.

Lemma 3.1. *For all two positive real numbers A and B, the following metric $ds^2_{n,m;A,B}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ defined by*

$$ds^2_{n,m;A,B}=\,A\,\sigma(Y^{-1}dY\,Y^{-1}dY\;+\;B\,\sigma(Y^{-1}\,{}^t(dV)\,dV)$$

is a Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ which is invariant under the action (1.7) of $GL_{n,m}$. The Laplacian $\Delta_{n,m;A,B}$ of $(\mathcal{P}_n\times\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)},\,ds^2_{n,m;A,B})$ is given by

$$\Delta_{n,m;A,B}=\,\frac{1}{A}\,\sigma\left(\left(Y\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\right)^2\right)-\frac{m}{2\,A}\,\sigma\left(Y\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\right)\,+\,\frac{1}{B}\sum_{k\leq p}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V}\right)Y\,{}^t\!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V}\right)\right)_{kp}.$$

Moreover $\Delta_{n,m;A,B}$ is a differential operator of order 2 which is invariant under the action (1.2) of $GL_{n,m}$.

Proof. The proof can be found in [13]. □

Lemma 3.2. *The following volume element $dv_{n,m}(Y,V)$ on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ defined by*

$$dv_{n,m}(Y,V)=(\;det Y\;)^{-\frac{n+m+1}{2}}[dY][dV]$$

is invariant under the action (1.2) of $GL_{n,m}$.

Proof. The proof can be found in [13]. □

Theorem 3.1. *Any geodesic through the origin* $(I_n, 0)$ *for the Riemannian metric* $ds^2_{n,m;1,1}$ *is of the form*

$$\gamma(t) = \left(\lambda(2t)[k],\ Z\left(\int_0^t \lambda(t-s)ds\right)[k]\right),$$

where k *is a fixed element of* $O(n)$, Z *is a fixed* $m\times n$ *real matrix,* t *is a real variable,* $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n$ *are fixed real numbers but not all zero and*

$$\lambda(t) := diag\,(e^{\lambda_1 t}, \cdots, e^{\lambda_n t}).$$

Furthermore, the tangent vector $\gamma'(0)$ *of the geodesic* $\gamma(t)$ *at* $(I_n, 0)$ *is* $(D[k], Z)$, *where* $D = diag\,(2\lambda_1, \cdots, 2\lambda_n)$.

Proof. The proof can be found in [13]. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let* (Y_0, V_0) *and* (Y_1, V_1) *be two points in* $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$. *Let* g *be an element in* $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ *such that* $Y_0[\,{}^tg] = I_n$ *and* $Y_1[\,{}^tg]$ *is diagonal. Then the length* $s\big((Y_0, V_0), (Y_1, V_1)\big)$ *of the geodesic joining* (Y_0, V_0) *and* (Y_1, V_1) *for the* $GL_{n,m}$*-invariant Riemannian metric* $ds^2_{n,m;A,B}$ *is given by*

$$s\big((Y_0, V_0), (Y_1, V_1)\big) = A\left\{\sum_{j=1}^n (\ln t_j)^2\right\}^{1/2} + B\int_0^1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_j\, e^{-(\ln t_j)\,t}\right)^{1/2} dt,$$

where $\Delta_j = \sum_{k=1}^m \widetilde{v}_{kj}^2$ $(1 \le j \le n)$ *with* $(V_1 - V_0)\,{}^tg = (\widetilde{v}_{kj})$ *and* $t_1, \cdots, t_n$ *denotes the zeros of* $\det(t\,Y_0 - Y_1)$.

Proof. The proof can be found in [13]. □

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\star$ of $GL_{n,m}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{g}_\star = \left\{ (X, Z) \mid X \in \mathbb{R}^{(n,n)},\ Z \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \right\}$$

equipped with the following Lie bracket

$$\big[(X_1, Z_1),\, (X_2, Z_2)\big] = \big([X_1, X_2]_0,\, Z_2\,{}^tX_1 - Z_1\,{}^tX_2\big),$$

where $[X_1, X_2]_0 = X_1X_2 - X_2X_1$ denotes the usual matrix bracket and $(X_1, Z_1), (X_2, Z_2) \in \mathfrak{g}_\star$. The adjoint representation $\mathrm{Ad}_\star$ of $GL_{n,m}$ is given by

$$\mathrm{Ad}_\star\,((g,\lambda))(X, Z) = \big(gXg^{-1},\, (Z - \lambda\,{}^tX)\,{}^tg\big), \tag{3.3}$$

where $(g, \lambda) \in GL_{n,m}$ and $(X, Z) \in \mathfrak{g}_\star$. And the adjoint representation $\mathrm{ad}_\star$ of $\mathfrak{g}_\star$ on $\mathrm{End}\,(\mathfrak{g}_\star)$ is given by

$$\mathrm{ad}_\star\,\big((X, Z)\big)\big((X_1, Z_1)\big) = \big[(X, Z), (X_1, Z_1)\big].$$

We see that the Killing form $B_\star$ of $\mathfrak{g}_\star$ is given by

$$B_\star\big((X_1, Z_1), (X_2, Z_2)\big) = (2\,n + m)\,\mathrm{tr}(X_1X_2) - 2\,\mathrm{tr}(X_1)\,\mathrm{tr}(X_2).$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of K is

$$\mathfrak{k} = \Big\{ (X,0) \in \mathfrak{g}_\star \,\Big|\, X + {}^tX = 0 \Big\}.$$

We let $\mathfrak{p}_\star$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_\star$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{p}_\star = \Big\{ (X,Z) \in \mathfrak{g}_\star \,\Big|\, X = {}^tX \in \mathbb{R}^{(n,n)},\ Z \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \Big\}.$$

Then we have the following relation

$$[\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{k} \qquad \text{and} \qquad [\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{p}_\star] \subset \mathfrak{p}_\star.$$

In addition, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_\star = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_\star \qquad (\text{the direct sum}).$$

K acts on $\mathfrak{p}_\star$ via the adjoint representation $\mathrm{Ad}_\star$ of $GL_{n,m}$ by

$$k \cdot (X,Z) = \big(kX\,{}^tk,\, Z\,{}^tk\big), \quad k \in K,\ (X,Z) \in \mathfrak{p}_\star. \tag{3.4}$$

The action (3.4) induces the action of K on the polynomial algebra $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p}_\star)$ of $\mathfrak{p}_\star$ and the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{p}_\star)$. We denote by $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p}_\star)^K$ (resp. $S(\mathfrak{p}_\star)^K$) the subalgebra of $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p}_\star)$ (resp. $S(\mathfrak{p}_\star)$) consisting of all K-invariants. The following inner product $(\ ,\ )_\star$ on $\mathfrak{p}_\star$ defined by

$$\big((X_1,Z_1),(X_2,Z_2)\big)_\star = \mathrm{tr}(X_1X_2) + \mathrm{tr}(Z_1\,{}^tZ_2), \quad (X_1,Z_1),(X_2,Y_2) \in \mathfrak{p}_\star$$

gives an isomorphism as vector spaces

$$\mathfrak{p}_\star \cong \mathfrak{p}_\star^*, \quad (X,Z) \mapsto f_{X,Z}, \quad (X,Z) \in \mathfrak{p}_\star, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_\star^*$ denotes the dual space of $\mathfrak{p}_\star$ and $f_{X,Z}$ is the linear functional on $\mathfrak{p}_\star$ defined by

$$f_{X,Z}\big((X_1,Z_1)\big) = \big((X,Z),(X_1,Z_1)\big)_\star, \quad (X_1,Z_1) \in \mathfrak{p}_\star.$$

Let $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_{n,m})$ be the algebra of all differential operators on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ that are invariant under the action (1.2) of $GL_{n,m}$. It is known that there is a canonical linear bijection of $S(\mathfrak{p}_\star)^K$ onto $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_{n,m})$. Identifying $\mathfrak{p}_\star$ with $\mathfrak{p}_\star^*$ by the above isomorphism (3.5), we get a canonical linear bijection

$$\Theta : \mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p}_\star)^K \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_{n,m}) \tag{3.6}$$

of $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p}_\star)^K$ onto $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_{n,m})$. The map Θ is described explicitly as follows. We put $N_\star = n(n+1)/2 + mn$. Let $\{\eta_\alpha \mid 1 \le \alpha \le N_\star\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{p}_\star$. If $P \in \mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p}_\star)^K$, then

$$\Big(\Theta(P)f\Big)(gK) = \left[P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_\alpha}\right) f\left(g\exp\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_\star} t_\alpha \eta_\alpha\right) K\right)\right]_{(t_\alpha)=0}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{P}_{n,m})$. We refer to [4], pp. 280-289. In general, it is very hard to express $\Theta(P)$ explicitly for a polynomial $P \in \mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p}_\star)^K$.

We present candidates for generators of $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p}_\star)^K$. We take a coordinate (X,Z) in $\mathfrak{p}_\star$ such that

$$X=\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \frac12 x_{12} & \dots & \frac12 x_{1n} \\ \frac12 x_{12} & x_{22} & \dots & \frac12 x_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac12 x_{1n} & \frac12 x_{2n} & \dots & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{p} \quad \text{and} \quad Z=(z_{kl})\in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}.$$

We define the polynomials $p_j,\ q_{pq},\ \xi_{pq},\ R_{jp}$ on $\mathfrak{p}_\star$ by

$$p_j(X,Z) = \mathrm{tr}\big(X^j\big),\quad 1\le j\le n, \tag{3.8}$$

$$q_{pq}(X,Z) = \big(Z\,{}^tZ\big)_{pq},\quad 1\le p\le q\le m, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\xi_{pq}(X,Z) = \big(ZX\,{}^tZ\big)_{pq},\quad 1\le p\le q\le m, \tag{3.10}$$

$$R_{jp}(X,Z) = \mathrm{tr}\big(X^j({}^tZZ)^p\big),\quad 1\le j\le n,\ 1\le p\le m, \tag{3.11}$$

where $\big(Z\,{}^tZ\big)_{pq}$ $\big($resp. $\big(ZX\,{}^tZ\big)_{pq}\big)$ denotes the (p,q)-entry of $Z\,{}^tZ$ $\big($resp. $ZX\,{}^tZ\big)$.

For any $m\times m$ real matrix S, we define the polynomials $M_{j;S},\ Q_{p;S}$ and $R_{i,p,j;S}$ on $\mathfrak{p}_\star$ by

$$M_{j;S}(X,Z) = \mathrm{tr}\big((X+{}^tZSZ)^j\big),\quad 1\le j\le n, \tag{3.12}$$

$$Q_{p;S}(X,Z) = \mathrm{tr}\big(({}^tZ\,S\,Z)^p\big),\quad 1\le p\le m \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$R_{i,p,j;S}(X,Z) = \mathrm{tr}\Big(X^i({}^tZSZ)^p(X+{}^tZSZ)^j\Big), \tag{3.14}$$

where $1\le i,j\le n,\ 1\le p\le m$. We see that all $p_j,\ q_{pq},\ \xi_{pq},\ R_{jp},\ R_{jp},\ M_{j;S},\ Q_{p;S}$ and $R_{i,p,j;S}$ are elements of $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p}_\star)^K$.

We propose the following problems.

Problem 1. Find the generators of $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p}_\star)^K$.

Problem 2. Find an easy way to compute the images $\Theta(p_j),\ \Theta(q_{pq}),\ \Theta(\xi_{pq}),\ \Theta(R_{jp}),\ \Theta(M_{j;S}),\ \Theta(Q_{p;S})$ and $\Theta(R_{i,p,j;S})$.

We present some invariant differential operators on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$. We define the differential operators $D_j,\ \Psi_{pq},\ \Delta_{pq}$ and L_p on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ by

$$D_j = \mathrm{tr}\left(\left(2Y\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\right)^j\right),\quad 1\le j\le n, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\Psi_{pq} = \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial V}\,Y\,{}^t\!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V}\right)\right\}_{pq},\quad 1\le p\le q\le m, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\Delta_{pq} = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(2Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right) Y \, {}^t\!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) \right\}_{pq}, \qquad 1 \leq p \leq q \leq m \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$L_p = \operatorname{tr} \left(\left\{ Y \, {}^t\!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right\}^p \right), \quad 1 \leq p \leq m. \tag{3.18}$$

Also we define the differential operators S_{jp} by

$$S_{jp} = \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(2Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^j \left\{ Y \, {}^t\!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right\}^p \right), \tag{3.19}$$

where $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq p \leq m$.

For any real matrix S of degree m, we define the differential operators $\Phi_{j;S},\ L_{p;S}$ and $\Phi_{i,p,j;S}$ by

$$\Phi_{j;S} = \operatorname{tr} \left(\left\{ Y \left(2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} + {}^t\!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) S \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) \right) \right\}^j \right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \tag{3.20}$$

$$L_{p;S} = \operatorname{tr} \left(\left\{ Y \, {}^t\!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) S \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) \right\}^p \right), \qquad 1 \leq p \leq m \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered} \Phi_{i,p,j;S}(X,Z) = \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(2\,Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^i \left(Y \, {}^t\!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) S \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) \right)^p \left\{ Y \left(2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} + {}^t\!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) S \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) \right) \right\}^j \right). \end{gathered} \tag{3.22}$$

We want to mention the special invariant differential operator on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$. In [12], the author studied the following differential operator $M_{n,m,\mathcal{M}}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ defined by

$$M_{n,m,\mathcal{M}} = \det(Y) \cdot \det \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} + \frac{1}{8\pi} \, {}^t\!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) \mathcal{M}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \right) \right), \tag{3.23}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is a positive definite, symmetric half-integral matrix of degree m. This differential operator characterizes *singular Jacobi forms*. For more detail, we refer to [12]. According to (3.1) and (3.2), we see easily that the differential operator $M_{n,m,\mathcal{M}}$ is invariant under the action (1.2) of $GL_{n,m}$.

4. **Examples**

Example 4.1. We consider the case where $n = 1$ and m is an arbitrary positive integer. In this case,

$$GL_{1,m} = \mathbb{R}^{\times} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{(m,1)}, \quad K = O(1), \quad \mathcal{P}_{1,m} = \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{(m,1)},$$

where $\mathbb{R}^\times = \{ a \in \mathbb{R} \,|\, a \neq 0 \}$ and $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{ a \in \mathbb{R} \,|\, a > 0 \}$. Clearly $\mathfrak{k} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{p}_\star = \mathfrak{g}_\star = \big\{ (x,z) \,|\, x \in \mathbb{R},\ z \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,1)} \big\}$. Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_m\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{(m,1)}$. Then

$$\eta_0 = (1,0),\ \eta_1 = (0,e_1),\ \eta_2 = (0,e_2), \cdots,\ \eta_m = (0,e_m)$$

form a basis of $\mathfrak{p}_\star$. Using this basis, we take a coordinate $(x, z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m)$ in $\mathfrak{p}_\star$, that is, if $w \in \mathfrak{p}_\star$, we write $w = x\eta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m z_k \eta_k$. We can show that $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p}_\star)^K$ is generated by the following polynomials

$$p(x,z) = x, \quad q_{kl}(x,z) = z_k\, z_l, \quad 1 \leq k \leq l \leq m,$$

where $z = (z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m)$. Let (y,v) be a coordinate in $\mathcal{P}_{1,m}$ with $y > 0$ and $v = {}^t(v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,1)}$. Then using Formula (3.7), we can show that

$$\Theta(p) = 2y\,\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta(q_{kl}) = y\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_k \partial v_l}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq l \leq m.$$

We see that $\Theta(p)$ and $\Theta(q_{kl})$ $(1 \leq k \leq l \leq m)$ generate the algebra $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_{1,m})$. Although $\Theta(q_{kl})$ $(1 \leq k \leq l \leq m)$ commute with each other, $\Theta(p)$ does not commute with any $\Theta(q_{kl})$. Indeed, we have the noncommutation relation

$$\Theta(p)\Theta(q_{kl}) \,-\, \Theta(q_{kl})\Theta(p) \,=\, 2\,\Theta(q_{kl}).$$

Hence the algebra $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_{1,m})$ is *not* commutative.

Example 4.2. We consider the case $n = 2$ and $m = 1$. In this case,

$$GL_{2,1} = GL(2,\mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{(1,2)}, \quad K = O(2) \ \text{ and } \ GL_{2,1}/K = \mathcal{P}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{(1,2)} = \mathcal{P}_{2,1}.$$

We see easily that

$$\mathfrak{p}_\star = \big\{ (X,Z) \mid X = {}^tX \in \mathbb{R}^{(2,2)},\ \ Z \in \mathbb{R}^{(1,2)} \big\}.$$

We put

$$e_1 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 0 \right), \quad e_{12} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 0 \right), \quad e_2 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 0 \right)$$

and

$$f_1 = (0,(1,0)), \qquad f_2 = (0,(0,1)).$$

Then $\{ e_1,\ e_{12},\ e_2,\ f_1,\ f_2 \}$ forms a basis for $\mathfrak{p}_\star$. We write for variables $(X,Z) \in \mathfrak{p}_\star$ by

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \frac{1}{2}x_3 \\ \frac{1}{2}x_3 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Z = (z_1, z_2).$$

The following polynomials

$$p_1(X,Z) = \mathrm{tr}(X) = x_1 + x_2, \qquad p_2(X,Z) = \mathrm{tr}(X^2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\,x_3^2,$$

$$\xi(X,Z) = Z\,{}^tZ \,=\, z_1^2 + z_2^2$$

and

$$\varphi(X,Z) = ZX\,{}^tZ \,=\, x_1\,z_1^2 + x_2\,z_2^2 + x_3\,z_1 z_2$$

are invariant under the action (3.4) of K.

Now we will compute the $GL_{2,1}$-invariant differential operators D_1, D_2, Ψ, Δ on $\mathcal{P}_{2,1}$ corresponding to the K-invariants p_1, p_2, ξ, φ respectively under a canonical linear bijection

$$\Theta \,:\, \mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{p}_\star)^K \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_{2,1}).$$

For real variables $t=(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ and $s=(s_1,s_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\exp\left(t_1e_1+t_2e_2+t_3e_3+s_1f_1+s_2f_2\right)\\ &=\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1(t,s) & a_3(t,s)\\ a_3(t,s) & a_2(t,s)\end{pmatrix},\,(\,b_1(t,s),\,b_2(t,s)\,)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1(t,s) &= 1+t_1+\frac{1}{2!}(t_1^2+t_3^2)+\frac{1}{3!}(t_1^3+2t_1t_3^2+t_2t_3^2)+\cdots,\\
a_2(t,s) &= 1+t_2+\frac{1}{2!}(t_2^2+t_3^2)+\frac{1}{3!}(t_1t_3^2+2t_2t_3^2+t_2^3)+\cdots,\\
a_3(t,s) &= t_3+\frac{1}{2!}(t_1+t_2)t_3+\frac{1}{3!}(t_1t_2+t_1^2+t_2^2+t_3^2)t_3+\cdots,\\
b_1(t,s) &= s_1-\frac{1}{2!}(s_1t_1+s_2t_3)+\frac{1}{3!}\left\{\,s_1(t_1^2+t_3^2)+s_2(t_1t_3+t_2t_3)\right\}-\cdots,\\
b_2(t,s) &= s_2-\frac{1}{2!}(s_1t_3+s_2t_2)+\frac{1}{3!}\left\{\,s_1(t_1+t_2)t_3+s_2(t_2^2+t_3^2)\right\}-\cdots.
\end{aligned}$$

For brevity, we write a_i, b_k for $a_i(t,s)$, $b_k(t,s)$ $(\,i=1,2,3,\ k=1,2\,)$ respectively. We now fix an element $(g,c)\in GL_{2,1}$ and write

$$g=\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_{12}\\ g_{21} & g_2\end{pmatrix}\quad\text{and}\quad c=(c_1,c_2).$$

We put

$$\big(Y(t,s),\,V(t,s)\big)=\left((g,c)\cdot\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 t_ie_i+\sum_{k=1}^2 s_kf_k\right)\right)\cdot(I_2,0)$$

with

$$Y(t,s)=\begin{pmatrix} y_1(t,s) & y_3(t,s)\\ y_3(t,s) & y_2(t,s)\end{pmatrix}\quad\text{and}\quad V(t,s)=(v_1(t,s),\,v_2(t,s)).$$

By an easy computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1 &= (g_1a_1+g_{12}a_3)^2+(g_1a_3+g_{12}a_2)^2,\\
y_2 &= (g_{21}a_1+g_2a_3)^2+(g_{21}a_3+g_2a_2)^2,\\
y_3 &= (g_1a_1+g_{12}a_3)(g_{21}a_1+g_2a_3)+(g_1a_3+g_{12}a_2)(g_{21}a_3+g_2a_2),\\
v_1 &= (c_1+b_1a_1+b_2a_3)g_1+(c_2+b_1a_3+b_2a_2)g_{12},\\
v_2 &= (c_1+b_1a_1+b_2a_3)g_{21}+(c_2+b_1a_3+b_2a_2)g_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the chain rule, we can easily compute the $GL_{2,1}$-invariant differential operators $D_1 = \Theta(p_1),\ D_2 = \Theta(p_2),\ \Psi = \Theta(\xi)$ and $\Delta = \Theta(\varphi)$. They are given by

$$D_1 \,=\, 2\,\mathrm{tr}\left(Y\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\right) = 2\left(\,y_1\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} + y_3\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2 \;&=\; \mathrm{tr}\left(\left(2Y\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\right)^2\right)\\
&=\; 3\,D_1 \,+\, 8\left(\,y_3^2\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1\partial y_2} \,+\, y_1y_3\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1\partial y_3} \,+\, y_2y_3\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2\partial y_3}\,\right)\\
&\quad +4\left\{\,y_1^2\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} \,+\, y_2^2\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} \,+\, \frac{1}{2}(y_1y_2+y_3^2)\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_3^2}\,\right\},\\
\Psi \;&=\; \mathrm{tr}\left(Y^{\,t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V}\right)\right)\\
&=\; y_1\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_1^2} \,+\, 2y_3\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_1\partial v_2} \,+\, y_2\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_2^2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \;&=\; \frac{\partial}{\partial V}\left(2Y\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\right)Y^{\,t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V}\right)\\
&=\; 2\left(\,y_1^2\,\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_1\partial v_1^2} \,+\, 2\,y_1y_3\,\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_1\partial v_1\partial v_2} \,+\, y_3^2\,\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_1\partial v_2^2}\,\right)\\
&\quad +2\left(\,y_3^2\,\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_2\partial v_1^2} \,+\, 2\,y_2\,y_3\,\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_2\partial v_1\partial v_2} \,+\, y_2^2\,\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_2\partial v_2^2}\,\right)\\
&\quad +2\left\{\,y_1y_3\,\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_3\partial v_1^2} \,+\, (\,y_1y_2 \,+\, y_3^2\,)\,\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_3\partial v_1\partial v_2} \,+\, y_2y_3\,\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_3\partial v_2^2}\,\right\}\\
&\quad +3\left(\,y_1\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_1^2} \,+\, 2y_3\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_1\partial v_2} \,+\, y_2\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_2^2}\,\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly D_1 commutes with D_2 but Ψ does not commute with D_1 and D_2. Indeed, we have the following noncommutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_1,\,\Psi] \;&=\; D_1\Psi - \Psi D_1\\
&=\; 2\,\Psi
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_2,\,\Psi] &= D_2\Psi - \Psi D_2 \\
&= 2\,(\,2\,D_1\,-1\,)\,\Psi \\
&\quad -8\det(Y)\cdot\det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}+{}^t\!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial V}\right) \\
&\quad +8\det(Y)\cdot\det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\right)-\,4\left(y_1y_2+y_3^2\right)\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_3\partial v_1\partial v_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the algebra $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}_{2,1})$ is *not* commutative.

REFERENCES

[1] Harish-Chandra, *Representations of semisimple Lie groups, I.,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1953), 185-243.

[2] Harish-Chandra, *The characters of semisimple Lie groups,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1956), 98-163.

[3] S. Helgason, *Differential operators on homogeneous spaces,* Acta Math. **102** (1959), 239-299.

[4] S. Helgason, *Groups and geometric analysis,* Academic Press, New York (1984).

[5] R. Howe, *Perspectives on invariant theory: Schur duality, multiplicity-free actions and beyond,* The Schur lectures (1992) (Tel Aviv), Israel Math. Conf. Proceedings, **vol. 8** (1995),1-182.

[6] H. Maass, *Die Bestimmung der Dirichletreihnen mit Grössencharakteren zu den Modulformen n-ten Grades,* J. Indian Math. Soc., **vol. 19** (1955), 1-23.

[7] H. Maass, *Siegel modular forms and Dirichlet series,* Lecture Notes in Math., **vol. 216**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1971).

[8] H. Minkowski, *Gesammelte Abhandlungen,* Chelsea, New York (1967).

[9] A. Selberg, *Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces with applications to Dirichlet series,* J. Indian Math. Soc. B. **20** (1956), 47-87.

[10] A. Terras, *Harmonic Analysis on Symmetric Spaces and Applications II,* Springer-Verlag (1988).

[11] H. Weyl, *The classical groups: Their invariants and representations,* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, second edition (1946).

[12] J.-H. Yang, *Singular Jacobi forms,* Trans. of American Math. Soc. **347**, No. 6 (1995), 2041-2049.

[13] J.-H. Yang, *Harmonic Analysis on the Minkowski-Euclid Space I : Theory of Automorphic Forms on the Minkowski-Euclid Space* $\mathcal{P}_n \times \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$, in preparation.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INHA UNIVERSITY, INCHEON 402-751, KOREA
E-mail address: `jhyang@inha.ac.kr`